

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/643,981	CHENG, HENRY H.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lun-See Lao	2615	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Lun-See Lao. (3)_____.

(2) Mr. Michael J. Shea. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 24 July 2007.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.


Identification of prior art discussed: Williams (US PAT. 5,896,459).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.


Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the limitation of claim 1 of this application, and the prior art to Williams. The proposed amendment appears overcoming the prior art of the record.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


 VIVIAN CHIN
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2200

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


 Examiner's signature, if required